

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,108	12/20/2001	Peter Thompson	0512.0051	9739	
33805 7	7590 06/15/2004		EXAMINER		
WEGMAN, HESSLER & VANDERBURG 6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			BUI, THACH H		
SUITE 200		ARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND	D, OH 44131		3752		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			()
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,108	THOMPSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thach H Bui	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	988
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed March 18, 2004 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forsyth (U.S. Patent No. 4,593,855) in view of Blanchard (U.S. Patent No. 5,615,922).

Forsyth teaches an apparatus (i.e. a sprayer system) for use with an associated, comprising a frame (40), a tank (40) having a bottom portion and a top portion. The top panel (53a) is being substantially horizontal; the top surface includes a first surface of which is adapted to receive an associated pump/engine (3) and a second surface adapted to receive an associated reel (19). The bottom portion of the tank is adapted to be received by the platform between the first and the second wheels (47a) (see Fig. 1). Forsyth has all the features of the invention but Forsyth does not mention explicitly the supply tank with a bottom portion that is adapted to be received by the platform of the pick-up truck and fits between the wheel wells and the upper portion that is wider than the distance between the wells; and a tank has a bottom portion having a length L1 and a top portion having a length L3, the length L1 is less than the length L2 and the length L3 is greater than the length L2 but less than length L4. Blanchard teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/027,108

Art Unit: 3752

Page 3

tank for holding liquid, solids and etc. having a bottom portion that is adapted to be received by the platform of the pick-up truck and fits between the wheel wells and the upper portion that is wider than the distance between the wells (see Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to modify the teachings of Forsyth and combine with Blanchard to have an apparatus having a tank with a bottom portion that is adapted to be received by the platform of the pick-up truck and fits between the wheel wells and the upper portion that is wider than the distance between the wells to hold liquids e.g. chemicals, pesticides and etc. for dispensing. Forsyth and Blanchard do not mention specifically a tank has a bottom portion having a length L1 and a top portion having a length L3, the length L1 is less than the length L2 and the length L3 is greater than the length L2 but less than length L4. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the length of L1 is less than L2 and L3 is less than L4, since applicant has not disclosed that the length of L1 is less than L2 and L3 is less than L4 solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the teachings of Forsyth combined with Blanchard. Methods follow by an apparatus.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parry is cited of general interest.

Art Unit: 3752

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 703-305-0063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Maria

T.B. 06/08/2004

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Gene Mancene